# United States Department of the Interior Bureau of Land Management

Miles City Field Office

# Montana-Dakota Utilities Right-of-Way MTM-057367

Categorical Exclusion (CX)
DOI-BLM-MT-C020-2013-0093-CX

For Further Information Please Contact:

Bureau of Land Management Miles City Field Office 111 Garryowen Road Miles City, Montana 59301 406-233-2800



### UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF INTERIOR BUREAU OF LAND MANAGEMENT

Miles City Field Office 111 Garryowen Road Miles City, Montana 59301

#### CATEGORICAL EXCLUSION REVIEW AND APPROVAL

#### A. Background

BLM Office: Miles City Field Office Serial No.: MTM-057367

NEPA Number (if applicable): DOI-BLM-MT-C020-2013-0093-CX

Proposed Action Title/Type:

Montana-Dakota Utilities Renewal of Right-of-way MTM-057367

Location of Proposed Action:

T. 6 N., R. 60 E., Section 4: NE<sup>1</sup>/<sub>4</sub>SE<sup>1</sup>/<sub>4</sub>, Fallon County, Montana, PMM

Description of Proposed Action: The proposed action is to renew a right-of-way which was issued to Montana-Dakota Utilities Company. This right-of-way is located on one parcel of Federal land and it was authorized for an overhead 12.4 kV overhead electric transmission line. It was issued under the authority of the Act of March 4, 1911 (36 Stat. 1253; U.S.C. 961). The right-of-way was subject to all applicable provisions of the regulations at the time they were issued. MTM-057367 will expire on April 17, 2013. The holder has requested that the right-of-way be renewed for a 50-year term. The maximum we can renew it for is 30 years, but it can be renewable. The holder has paid the required processing fee of \$410 for a Category 2 FLPMA right-of-way. Montana-Dakota Utilities Company would be subject to cost recovery fees and rental fees. The right-of-way is 50 feet wide, 735 feet long, and consists of 0.84 acres, more or less. This right-of-way has been inspected and is being used for the purpose for which it was authorized.

The standard stipulations for cultural and/or paleontological resource protection and toxic substances would be made a part of the right-of-way grant, as would the standard stipulations that all activities associated with the ROW and weed control would be conducted within the authorized limits of the right-of-way. There would be no construction or routine maintenance when the soils are too wet. The holder would be required to contact entities or persons who hold an authorized right on adjacent or affected lands. The electric line needs to be in conformance with the "Suggested Practices for Avian Protection on Power Lines," Raptor Research Foundation, Inc., 1981, as amended in 1996 and 2006. The right-of-way would be subject to mitigations set forth in the application and plan of development. The right-of-way would be renewed pursuant to Title V of the Federal Land Policy and Management Act of October 21, 1976 (90 Stat. 2776; 43 U.S.C. 1761) and be subject to the terms and conditions in 43 CFR 2800 and the

attached stipulations. The right-of-way would be monitored for use and before future renewal or termination.

#### **B.** Land Use Plan Conformance

Land Use Plan Name: Big Dry R. A. RMP/EIS Record of Decision (ROD)

Date Approved/Amended: Approved on April of 1996

The proposed action is in conformance with the applicable LUP because it is specifically provided for in the following LUP decision(s): This proposed action is in conformance with the Big Dry Resource Area RMP/EIS ROD which was approved in April of 1996. On page 10 of the Record of Decision, it states that "In areas where rights-of-way are allowed, stipulations from the BLM Manual 2800 will be used to protect resource values." The proposed action has been reviewed for conformance with this plan and its terms and conditions as required by 43 CFR 1610.5

#### C. Compliance with NEPA:

The Proposed Action is categorically excluded from further documentation under the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) in accordance with 516 DM 11.9E (9) for renewals and assignments of leases, permits or rights-of-way where no additional rights are conveyed beyond those granted by the original authorizations.

This categorical exclusion is appropriate in this situation because there are no extraordinary circumstances potentially having effects that may significantly affect the environment. The proposed action has been reviewed, and, as documented below, none of the extraordinary circumstances described in 516 DM2 apply.

Extraordinary Circumstances The project would:				
Yes	Yes No Rationale: The project would not have significant impacts on public health			
		and safety as it is for the renewal of a right-of-way for an overhead electric		
	X	line. DDL 2/20/2013		
2. Have significant impacts on such natural resources and unique geographic characteristics as historic or cultural resources; park, recreation or refuge lands; wilderness areas; wild or scenic rivers; national natural landmarks; sole or principal drinking water aquifers; prime farmlands; wetlands (Executive Order 11990); floodplains (Executive Order 11988); national monuments; migratory birds; and other ecologically significant or critical areas.				
Yes	No	<b>Rationale:</b> Impacts would not be significant as the proposed action is to		
	X	renew the existing right-of-way. DDL 2/20/2013		

		nly controversial environmental effects or involve unresolved conflicts	
conce	concerning alternative uses of available resources [NEPA section 102 (2) (E)].		
Yes	No	<b>Rationale:</b> No controversial environmental effects or unresolved conflicts.	
	X	DDL 2/20/2013	
		, ,	
4 Ho	va hial	also uncortain and notantially significant anyironmental affacts or involve	
		nly uncertain and potentially significant environmental effects or involve	
		known environmental risks.	
Yes	No	Rationale: No highly uncertain and potentially significant environmental	
	X	effects or unique or unknown environmental risks. DDL 2/20/2013	
5. Est	tablish	a precedent for future action or represent a decision in principal about future	
		potentially significant environmental effects.	
Yes	No	Rationale: This action is not connected to another action that would	
103	X	require further environmental analysis nor will it set a precedent for future	
	А	actions that would normally require environmental analysis.	
		, ,	
		DDL 2/20/2013	
		rect relationship to other actions with individually insignificant but	
cumul	latively	significant environmental effects.	
Yes	No	<b>Rationale:</b> There would be no cumulative impacts from this project. See	
	$\mathbf{X}$	CFR 1508.7. DDL 2/20/2013	
		, ,	
7 На	ve cion	nificant impacts on properties listed, or eligible for listing, on the National	
		Historic Places as determined by either the bureau or office.	
Yes	No	Rationale: Confirm that cultural surveys have been completed; the	
	X	appropriate data bases have been reviewed; and appropriate concurrence	
		from SHPO and tribes have been received indicating that significant	
		impacts are not expected.	
		The proposed action has been previously inventoried for cultural resources.	
		No cultural resources were found during the inventory (See BLM Cultural	
		Resources Report MT-020-13-118). The proposed project would have no	
		effect to historic properties.	
		DM 04/08/13	
0	-		
8. Have significant impacts on species listed, or proposed to be listed, on the List of			
Endangered or Threatened Species, or have significant impacts on designated Critical			
Habitat for these species.			
Yes	No	Rationale: Threatened or endangered habitat and/or species exist in the	
	${f X}$	proposed ROW renewal area. KÜ 2/25/13	
		1 1	
9. Vio	9. Violate a Federal law, or a State, local or tribal law or requirement imposed for the		
	protection of the environment.		
Protection of the entirement			

	1		
Yes	No X	Rationale: No laws are being violated by this a	action. <b>DDL 2/20/2013</b>
		sproportionately high and adverse effect on low Executive Order 12898).	income or minority
Yes	No X	Rationale: Does not have a disproportionately low income or minority populations. DDL 2/2	
religio	ous prac	ess to and ceremonial use of Indian sacred sites of etitioners or significantly adversely affect the phy Executive Order 13007).	•
Yes	No X	<b>Rationale:</b> Consultation with tribes regarding take place	Indian sacred sites must
		The proposed action is to renew an ROW for an ROW renewal would not limit access or affect to Ethographic Overview for Southeast Montana I the proposed action DM 04/08/13	uses of public lands. The
non-n introd	ative in uction,	e to the introduction, continued existence, or spr vasive species known to occur in the area or acti growth, or expansion of the range of such specie and Executive Order 13112).	ons that may promote the
Yes	No X	Rationale: The proposed action will not control spread of noxious weeds as an existing right-of BSW 2/20/2013	
<i>**</i>	64	by Lockness	4/8/3013
Signat	ture of I	Environmental Coordinator	Date
	Shane	Findlag	4/8/2013
Signat	ture of S	Supervisory Land Use Specialist	Date

## <u>Decision Record for Categorical Exclusion</u> <u>Renewal of Right-of-way MTM-057367 Montana-Dakota Utilities</u> DOI-BLM-MT-020-2013-0093-CX

<u>Decision</u>: I have made the decision to renew the existing right-of-way to Montana-Dakota Utilities for an overhead 12.4 kV overhead electric transmission line located on the following Federal land (Public Domain):

T. 6 N., R. 60 E., Section 4: NE<sup>1</sup>/<sub>4</sub>SE<sup>1</sup>/<sub>4</sub>, Fallon County, Montana, PMM.

The standard stipulations for cultural and/or paleontological resource protection, toxic substances stipulation, weed control stipulation, no construction or maintenance when too wet, coordinate with other parties, timing stipulation, conformance with "Suggested Practices for Avian Protection on Power Lines," as well as other stipulations will be made part of the right-of-way renewal grant. The right-of-way will be renewed for 30 years and be pursuant to Title V of the Federal Land Policy and Management Act of October 21, 1976 (90 Stat. 2776; 43 U.S.C. 1761) and be subject to all applicable provisions of the regulations at 43 CFR 2800 and the attached stipulations. The applicant will be subject to cost recovery fees and rental fees. The right-of-way will be monitored for use and before future renewal or termination.

<u>Rationale for Decision</u>: The proposed action meets the criteria for a categorical exclusion under 516 DM 11.9E (9) for renewals and assignments of leases, permits or rights-of-way where no additional rights are conveyed beyond those granted by the original authorizations and none of the exceptions in 516 DM 2 apply. Further the actions are in conformance with the Big Dry RMP/EIS ROD, which was approved in April of 1996.

I considered the proposed action and associated stipulations which will be included in the right-of-way renewal grant and are attached below. There is no potential for significant impacts. Use of this CX is appropriate and I have decided to implement this action.

2. Signa	Jan S	
		4/9/2013
Signature of Authorizing Official		Date
Name:	Todd D. Yeager	<u>.</u>
Title:	Field Manager	<u>.</u>

#### **Contact Person**

D: Signature

For additional information concerning this CX review and decision, contact:
Dalice Landers, Realty Specialist (406-233-2836)
BLM – Miles City Field Office
111 Garryowen Road
Miles City, Montana 59301

#### **Stipulations**

- 1. Any cultural and/or paleontological resource (historic or prehistoric site or object) discovered by the holder, or any person working on his behalf, on public or Federal land shall be immediately reported to the authorized officer. Holder shall suspend all operations in the immediate area of such discovery until written authorization to proceed is issued by the authorized officer. An evaluation of the discovery will be made by the authorized officer to determine appropriate actions to prevent the loss of significant cultural or scientific values. The holder will be responsible for the cost of evaluation and any decision as to proper mitigation measures will be made by the authorized officer after consulting with the holder.
- 2. The holder shall be responsible for weed control on disturbed areas within the limits of the right-of-way. The holder is responsible for consultation with the authorized officer and/or local authorities for acceptable weed control methods (within limits imposed in the grant stipulations).
- 3. No construction or routine maintenance activities shall be performed during periods when the soil is too wet to adequately support construction equipment. If such equipment creates ruts in excess of four (4) inches deep, the soil shall be deemed too wet to adequately support construction equipment.
- 4. The holder shall conduct all activities associated with the construction, operation, and termination of the right-of-way within the authorized limits of the right-of-way.
- 5. The holder(s) shall comply with all applicable Federal laws and regulations existing or hereafter enacted or promulgated. In any event, the holder(s) shall comply with the Toxic Substances Control Act of 1976, as amended (15 U.S.C. 2601, et seq.) with regard to any toxic substances that are used, generated by or stored on the right-of-way or on facilities authorized under this right-of-way grant. (See 40 CFR, Part 702-799 and especially, provisions on polychlorinated biphenyls, 40 CFR 761.1-761.193) Additionally, any release of toxic substances (leaks, spills, etc.) in excess of the reportable quantity established by 40 CFR, Part 117 shall be reported as required by the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act of 1980, Section 102b. A copy of any report required or requested by any Federal agency or State government as a result of a reportable release or spill of any toxic substances shall be furnished to the authorized officer concurrent with the filing of the reports to the involved Federal agency or State government.
- 6. In the event that the public land underlying the right-of-way (ROW) encompassed in this grant, or a portion thereof, is conveyed out of Federal ownership and administration of the ROW or the land underlying the ROW is not being reserved to the United States in the patent/deed and/or the ROW is not within a ROW corridor being reserved to the United States in the patent/deed, the United States waives any right it has to administer the right-of-way, or portion thereof, within the conveyed land under Federal laws, statutes, and regulations, including the regulations at 43 CFR Part 2800, including any rights to have the holder apply to BLM for amendments, modifications, or assignments and for BLM to approve or recognize such

amendments, modifications, or assignments. At the time of conveyance, the patentee/grantee, and their successors and assigns, shall succeed to the interests of the United States in all matters relating to the right-of-way, or portion thereof, within the conveyed land and shall be subject to applicable State and local government laws, statutes, and ordinances. After conveyance, any disputes concerning compliance with the use and the terms and conditions of the ROW shall be considered a civil matter between the patentee/grantee and the ROW Holder.

- 7. This grant is issued subject to the holder's compliance with the mitigations set forth in the application/plan of development.
- 8. The holder shall coordinate with the existing right-of-way holders, grazing lessees/permittees, and other parties who hold an authorized right on adjacent and affected lands.
- 9. Unless otherwise agreed to by the authorized officer in writing, powerlines shall be constructed in accordance to standards outlined in "Suggested Practices for Avian Protection on Power Lines," Raptor Research Foundation, Inc., 1981, as amended in 1996 and 2006. The holder shall assume the burden and expense of proving that pole designs not shown in the above publication are "eagle safe." Such proof shall be provided by a raptor expert approved by the authorized officer. The BLM reserves the right to require modifications or additions to all powerline structures placed on this right-of-way, should they be necessary to ensure the safety of large perching birds. Such modifications and/or additions shall be made by the holder without liability or expense to the United States.
- 10. The holder of this right-of-way grant or the holder's successor in interest shall comply with Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 (42 U.S.C. 2000d <u>et seq.</u>) and the regulations of the Secretary of the Interior issued pursuant thereto.